

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF CAMELEY PARISH COUNCIL HELD AT 7.30pm 6th AUGUST 2014 IN TEMPLE CLOUD VILLAGE HALL

Templecloud.org.uk

Councillor Tony Hooper reported the sad news that Tony Bruegger died on 30th July after a long illness. He reminded the meeting that Tony had served the Parish as a Councillor and Chairman and that he would be missed. Those present stood for a minute's silence. A memorial service was set for 11th August at 3.00 at St. James.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:

It was reported that somebody had levered the roof up on the tractor shed on the playing field presumably to gain access – Cllr Hutchinson would make safe.

The business meeting opened at 7.40pm.

PRESENT: Cllrs, Mr Cockerham, Mr Hooper, Mr Hutchinson, Mr Welsford, District Councillor Tim Warren and the Clerk, Mr Scutt.

1.APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE: Cllrs Mrs Atkinson, Mrs Parfitt, Mr Dando, Mrs Harvey and Mr Hemmings.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:

In response to a question from the chair all councillors present confirmed no personal interest in any item on the agenda.

3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING were approved.

4. UPDATE ON MATTERS OUTSTANDING.

The Clerk asked for an update on the provision of new litter bins in the village and the Chair of the Temple Cloud residents confirmed that B&NES will visit the village and carry out a bin survey during August. It was agreed that once B&NES had reported back the Parish Council could then discuss the situation and decide if any further bins would be needed. If there were additional bins funded by the Parish Council then the question of who emptied them if BANES wouldn't was discussed. It was agreed to investigate whether the village operative could do this or not.

5. FINANCE:

It was proposed and agreed to pay the following:-

A) Payments	£	Vat
(1) Clerks wages	269.53	
(2) Sweeper wages	441.67	
(3) Garage rent	37.97	7.59
(4) Sweeper equipment	23.28	4.66
B) Payments received		
(1) Parish Sweeper	292.17	

6. PLANNING; The Parish Council discussed how the adoption of the Core Strategy might affect the development on the Temple Inn site and on the proposed development of 70 dwellings on the site off Temple inn Lane.

District Councillor Warren told the meeting that the only change to the Temple Inn site was that the developers would now have to provide affordable housing since their proposed development had more than five houses. He felt that the developers would offer a deal involving 106 payments however there had been no formal announcement.

In terms of the proposed development of 70 dwellings on land off Temple Inn Lane he told the meeting that the application was being sent back to Committee on 4th September for B&NES to look at the scheme again in the light of the Core Strategy. He was not optimistic that B&NES would turn it down however this was his personal opinion.

The Parish Council discussed this development at length and agreed with their previous list of objections to the scheme.

- 70 was too many dwellings, not just for the site but for the village as a whole. The Core strategy specified 50 over a period of years which suggests small scale and a gentle growth.
- There were too many affordable houses in the village already – more in fact than the 10 neighbouring villages had in total.
- B&NES have a duty to protect villages and one of the ways is to allow slow steady growth.
- The number of houses proposed in one go are unsustainable for the road network, the local doctor's surgery and the local school.

The Parish Council asked every resident who objected to write to B&NES planning before the committee met on September 4th.

The Chair then asked if the Parish Council wished to be represented at this Committee meeting and the proposal was passed unanimously. The Chair then asked if Councillor Welsford would be willing to represent the Parish Council and he agreed to work out his availability.

It was agreed that the original objection by Cameley parish Council would be looked at and amended if necessary before the committee meeting for Councillor Welsford to read out.

District Councillor Warren confirmed that he would at the meeting and would speak.

7. INFORMATION EXCHANGE

The meeting was told that there was talk of the Bus Stop lay-by on the Green going south would be filled in and the bus stop moved a short distance north on the pavement with no lay-by.

District Councillor Warren told the meeting that there were no Parish Councils in Bath and that B&NES were considering this and were putting the idea up for discussion. The reason for reporting this was that the cost might have to be borne by all Council tax payers in B&NES.

It was noted that there had been no précis of July's parish Council meeting in the August edition of the Chew Valley Gazette. The clerk explained that the Parish

Council meeting had taken place on the deadline date and that is why there was no précis. He did point out that the précis was in the Parish Magazine. The Clerk was asked if he could add to the précis for the September issue, the following from the July minutes:- *The Standards Committee has carefully considered the complaints against Councillor Hemmings, the Investigating Officer's report, the evidence presented and the statements made at this meeting. The Committee accepts that the discussion at the Parish Council meeting was robust, but does not find that this was sufficient to amount to a breach of the Code. The Committee reminds Parish Councillors that training on the Code of Conduct is readily available.*

8. NEXT MEETING will be at 7.30 pm on 10th September in the village hall.

The meeting closed at 8.20pm